

EFFECT OF DRY MACHINING ON TOOL WEAR

MADIHAH BINTI ZUBIR

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the
bachelor of mechanical engineering with manufacturing engineering

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE, 2012

ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the wear of coated carbide insert in machining carbon steel AISI 1065. Machineability of AISI 1065 is considered good with high strength, high resistance to breakage and high modulus of elasticity. This has increased the tool wear of the coated carbide when it is used to machining of AISI 1065. The main objective of this project is to examine the progress of tool wear, identify the interaction between the different parameters and to find out the optimal parameter that can be used in dry machining. In this project, 3^3 full factorial design of experiments (DOE) was employed in STATISTICA software to plan and perform the experiment systematically so that any possible experimental error would be minimized. Machining variables considered are cutting length, cutting speed and feed rate. The variables for there levels were 90, 120 and 150 rev/min for cutting speed, 0.13, 0.18, 0.22 mm/rev for the feed rate and 0.8, 1.0, and 1.2 mm for depth of cut respectively. Machining AISI 1065 was carried out by using the conventional lathe machine. The work piece is been turning for 7 rounds for each turning process. After 7 rounds of turning process, wear of the coated carbide insert was investigated and measured by using Microscope MarVision MM320 and Quadra check 300. Experimental data was analyzed in STATISTICA. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is done to identify the most influencing parameters in this research. From the ANOVA analysis, the cutting speed and the feed rate are the most significant parameter that influencing tool wear. Depth of cut effect can be negligible. The minimum tool wears is at the lowest cutting speed that is 90rev/min and at lowest feed rate 0.13mm/rev.

ABSTRAK

Tesis ini menyajikan kehausan mata alat pemotong diselaputi karbide dalam memesinkan besi karbon AISI 1065. Kebolehmesinan besi AISI 1065 dimesinkan adalah dengan kekuatan yang tinggi, keupayaan menahan dari patah, dan nilai modulus kekenyalan yang tinggi. Ini menyebabkan alat pemotong diselaputi karbide akan cepat haus. Objektif utama projek ini ialah untuk mengkaji kemajuan kehausan alat mata, mengenalpasti interaksi antara parameter yang berlainan dan untuk mengetahui parameter yang optimum yang boleh digunakan dalam pemesinan kering. Dalam projek ini, rekaan eksperimen pemfaktoran penuh 3^3 dijanakan dalam perisian STATISTICA untuk mengatur dan menjalankan eksperimen ini secara sistematik untuk mengurangkan apa-apa ralat eksperimen yang mungkin berlaku. Parameter yang dipertimbangkan ialah kelajuan pemotongan, kadar kelajuan pemotongan dan kedalaman pemotongan. Tiga tahap parameter yang digunakan ialah 90, 120 dan 150 rev/min untuk kelajuan pemotongan, 0.13, 0.18 dan 0.22 mm/rev untuk kadar kelajuan bahan dipotong dan 0.8, 1.0 dan 1.2 untuk kedalaman pemotongan. Proses memesinkan besi AISI 1065 dijalankan dengan menggunakan mesin larik konvensional. Bahan kajian dilarikkan untuk 7 pusingan untuk setiap proses larikan. Selepas 7 proses larikan, kehausan alat pemotong diselaputi karbide dikaji dan diukur menggunakan mikroskop MarVision MM320 dan Quadra Chek 300. Data eksperimen dianalisis menggunakan STATISTICA. Analisis varians (ANOVA) dilakukan untuk mengenalpasti parameter yang paling mempengaruhi kehausan alat pemotong. Daripada analisis ANOVA, kelajuan pemotongan dan kadar kelajuan pemotongan adalah parameter yang paling penting yang mempengaruhi kehausan alat pemotong. Kesan kedalaman pemotongan boleh diabaikan. Kehausan mata pemotong paling minimum adalah pada kelajuan pemotongan 90rev/min dan kadar kelajuan pemotongan 0.13mm/rev.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| SUPERVISOR’S DECLARATION | ii |
| STUDENTS’S DECLARATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| ABSTRACT | v |
| ABSTRAK | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xiii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Project Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Problem | 2 |
| 1.2.2 Solution of the problem | 2 |
| 1.3 Project Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 Scope of project | 3 |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 4 |
| 2.2 Plain Carbon Steel | 4 |
| 2.3 Heat Treatment | 6 |
| 2.3.1 Introduction | 6 |
| 2.3.2 Heat Treatment Process | 8 |
| 2.3.3 Quenching Process | 9 |
| 2.4 Vickers Hardness Test | 10 |
| 2.5 Dry Machining | 12 |

| | | |
|-------|------------------------------|----|
| 2.6 | Lathe Machine | 14 |
| 2.6.1 | Turning high carbon steel | 16 |
| 2.7 | Coated Carbide Insert | 16 |
| 2.8 | Tool Wear | 17 |
| 2.9 | Design of Experiment (DOE) | 22 |
| 2.10 | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) | 24 |
| 2.11 | Summary | 24 |

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-------|---------------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 26 |
| 3.2 | Flow Chart | 28 |
| 3.3 | Preparation of work piece | 29 |
| 3.3.1 | Material selection | 30 |
| 3.4 | Heat Treatment | 31 |
| 3.4.1 | Quenching process | 32 |
| 3.5 | Hardness Test | 32 |
| 3.6 | Selection of parameters | 34 |
| 3.7 | Turning Operation | 38 |
| 3.8 | Data Recording | 40 |
| 3.9 | Data Analysis | 41 |

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 46 |
| 4.2 | Hardness Test | 46 |
| 4.2.1 | Experimental Result | 46 |
| 4.2.2 | Discussion | 48 |
| 4.3 | Impact of cutting parameters to the coated carbide insert | 49 |
| 4.4 | Statistical Analysis: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) | 51 |
| 4.5 | Discussion | 58 |

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| | | |
|-----|----------------|----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 60 |
| 5.2 | Conclusion | 60 |
| 5.3 | Recommendation | 61 |

| | |
|-------------------|----|
| REFERENCES | 62 |
|-------------------|----|

| | |
|-------------------|----|
| APPENDICES | 64 |
|-------------------|----|

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Title | Page |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Properties of plain carbon steel | 6 |
| 2.2 | Tool life, surface roughness and cutting force when machining cast iron T150M coated carbide tool in dry condition | 17 |
| 2.3 | 3 ³ factorial design | 21 |
| 3.1 | Chemical composition of AISI 1065 | 33 |
| 3.2 | Mechanical properties of AISI 1065 | 33 |
| 3.3 | Selection of factors and value | 38 |
| 4.1 | Vickers hardness value | 49 |
| 4.2 | Impact of Cutting Parameters to the Tool Wear | 50 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | Title | Page |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Fe-Fe ₃ C equilibrium phase diagram | 7 |
| 2.2 | Vickers Pyramid Hardness Indentation | 11 |
| 2.3 | Region of heat generation in machining | 12 |
| 2.4 | Lathe Machine | 14 |
| 2.5 | Flank wear carbide insert tool of turning C-60 steel | 19 |
| 2.6 | Influence of the depth of cut on the tool wear | 20 |
| 3.1 | Methodology Flow Chart | 31 |
| 3.2 | Drawing of the work piece used | 29 |
| 3.3 | Band saw cutting machine S-300HB | 29 |
| 3.4 | Cutting process of the work pieces | 30 |
| 3.5 | L00 LT-MSI Arc Spark Spectrometer | 30 |
| 3.6 | Heating the work pieces | 32 |
| 3.7 | Quench in water | 32 |
| 3.8 | Vickers hardness test machine | 33 |
| 3.9 | View of indentation on the work piece | 33 |
| 3.10 | 3** (K-p) and Box-Behnken designs | 34 |
| 3.11 | Design three-level factor | 35 |
| 3.12 | Summary for variables | 36 |
| 3.13 | Table of runs | 37 |
| 3.14 | Conventional Lathe Machine | 38 |
| 3.15 | Coated carbide inserts | 39 |
| 3.16 | Turning operation | 40 |
| 3.17 | Microscope MarVision MM320 and Quadra check 300 | 40 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.18 | Dependent and independent variables | 42 |
| 3.19 | Selection of model | 43 |
| 3.20 | Predicted vs. observed scatter plot selection | 44 |
| 4.1 | Graph of Vickers Hardness | 47 |
| 4.2 | Table of runs with experimental result | 49 |
| 4.3 | ANOVA table for no interaction model | 52 |
| 4.4 | Scatter plot of predicted value versus observed value no interaction model | 52 |
| 4.5 | ANOVA table for two-way interaction (linear-by-linear) | 53 |
| 4.6 | Scatter plot of predicted value versus observed value two-way interaction (linear-by-linear) | 54 |
| 4.7 | ANOVA table for two-way interaction (linear-by-quadratic) | 55 |
| 4.8 | Scatter plot of predicted value versus observed value two-way interaction (linear-by-quadratic) | 56 |
| 4.9 | Response surface for cutting speed and feed rate on the tool wear | 57 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| $^{\circ}\text{C}$ | Degree Celsius |
| γ | Gamma |
| R^2 | Coefficient of determination |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|
| DOE | Design of Experiments |
| AISI | American Iron and Steel Institute |
| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
| HV | Hardness value |
| RPM | Revolutions per minute |
| FCC | Face centred cubic |
| BCT | Body central tetragonal |
| CVD | Chemical vapour deposition |
| SS | Sum of squares |
| CS | Cutting speed |

**IN THE NAME OF ALLAH,
THE MOST BENEFICENT, THE MOST MERCIFUL**

*A special dedication of This Grateful Feeling to My..
Beloved parents, En.Zubir bin Daud and Pn. Laila binti Mohd Zain for giving me full of
moral and financial support. It is very meaningful to me in order to finish up my
degree's study. Not forgetting also to all my loving siblings, and also to my beloved one.
Last but not least to all my colleagues and my lovely friends.
Thanks for all the support, wishes and love.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Allah Almighty for blessing and giving me strength to accomplish this thesis. I would like to acknowledge and extend my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor Mr. Lee Giok Chui SMP., KMN, lecturer of Faculty of Mechanical Engineering for his continues support, helpful advice and valuable guidance throughout my thesis. This thesis could not have been done without Mr. Lee Giok Chui SMP., KMN, who not only served as my supervisor but also encouraged and guide me through the writing up thesis process by giving the best effort. I would also like to thank him for helping me to complete this project.

I would like to express my appreciation to the lab instructor and the staffs of Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Malaysia Pahang for their teach and guidance during the period of the project. I also wish to express sincere appreciation to all my friends for their advices and their helps to do the study and complete this project.

Most importantly, I would like to thank to my family who always sacrifices their time and continuous support me to achieve my dreams and goals. I would like to thank them for all their supports and encouragements for me.

ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the wear of coated carbide insert in machining carbon steel AISI 1065. Machineability of AISI 1065 is considered good with high strength, high resistance to breakage and high modulus of elasticity. This has increased the tool wear of the coated carbide when it is used to machining of AISI 1065. The main objective of this project is to examine the progress of tool wear, identify the interaction between the different parameters and to find out the optimal parameter that can be used in dry machining. In this project, 3^3 full factorial design of experiments (DOE) was employed in STATISTICA software to plan and perform the experiment systematically so that any possible experimental error would be minimized. Machining variables considered are cutting length, cutting speed and feed rate. The variables for there levels were 90, 120 and 150 rev/min for cutting speed, 0.13, 0.18, 0.22 mm/rev for the feed rate and 0.8, 1.0, and 1.2 mm for depth of cut respectively. Machining AISI 1065 was carried out by using the conventional lathe machine. The work piece is been turning for 7 rounds for each turning process. After 7 rounds of turning process, wear of the coated carbide insert was investigated and measured by using Microscope MarVision MM320 and Quadra check 300. Experimental data was analyzed in STATISTICA. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is done to identify the most influencing parameters in this research. From the ANOVA analysis, the cutting speed and the feed rate are the most significant parameter that influencing tool wear. Depth of cut effect can be negligible. The minimum tool wears is at the lowest cutting speed that is 90rev/min and at lowest feed rate 0.13mm/rev.

ABSTRAK

Tesis ini menyajikan kehausan mata alat pemotong diselaputi karbide dalam memesinkan besi karbon AISI 1065. Kebolehmesinan besi AISI 1065 dimesinkan adalah dengan kekuatan yang tinggi, keupayaan menahan dari patah, dan nilai modulus kekenyalan yang tinggi. Ini menyebabkan alat pemotong diselaputi karbide akan cepat haus. Objektif utama projek ini ialah untuk mengkaji kemajuan kehausan alat mata, mengenalpasti interaksi antara parameter yang berlainan dan untuk mengetahui parameter yang optimum yang boleh digunakan dalam pemesinan kering. Dalam projek ini, rekaan eksperimen pemfaktoran penuh 3^3 dijanakan dalam perisian STATISTICA untuk mengatur dan menjalankan eksperimen ini secara sistematik untuk mengurangkan apa-apa ralat eksperimen yang mungkin berlaku. Parameter yang dipertimbangkan ialah kelajuan pemotongan, kadar kelajuan pemotongan dan kedalaman pemotongan. Tiga tahap parameter yang digunakan ialah 90, 120 dan 150 rev/min untuk kelajuan pemotongan, 0.13, 0.18 dan 0.22 mm/rev untuk kadar kelajuan bahan dipotong dan 0.8, 1.0 dan 1.2 untuk kedalaman pemotongan. Proses memesinkan besi AISI 1065 dijalankan dengan menggunakan mesin larik konvensional. Bahan kajian dilarikkan untuk 7 pusingan untuk setiap proses larikan. Selepas 7 proses larikan, kehausan alat pemotong diselaputi karbide dikaji dan diukur menggunakan mikroskop MarVision MM320 dan Quadra Chek 300. Data eksperimen dianalisis menggunakan STATISTICA. Analisis varians (ANOVA) dilakukan untuk mengenalpasti parameter yang paling mempengaruhi kehausan alat pemotong. Daripada analisis ANOVA, kelajuan pemotongan dan kadar kelajuan pemotongan adalah parameter yang paling penting yang mempengaruhi kehausan alat pemotong. Kesan kedalaman pemotongan boleh diabaikan. Kehausan mata pemotong paling minimum adalah pada kelajuan pemotongan 90rev/min dan kadar kelajuan pemotongan 0.13mm/rev.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| SUPERVISOR’S DECLARATION | ii |
| STUDENTS’S DECLARATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| ABSTRACT | v |
| ABSTRAK | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xiii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Project Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Problem | 2 |
| 1.2.2 Solution of the problem | 2 |
| 1.3 Project Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 Scope of project | 3 |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 4 |
| 2.2 Plain Carbon Steel | 4 |
| 2.3 Heat Treatment | 6 |
| 2.3.1 Introduction | 6 |
| 2.3.2 Heat Treatment Process | 8 |
| 2.3.3 Quenching Process | 9 |
| 2.4 Vickers Hardness Test | 10 |
| 2.5 Dry Machining | 12 |

| | | |
|-------|------------------------------|----|
| 2.6 | Lathe Machine | 14 |
| 2.6.1 | Turning high carbon steel | 16 |
| 2.7 | Coated Carbide Insert | 16 |
| 2.8 | Tool Wear | 17 |
| 2.9 | Design of Experiment (DOE) | 22 |
| 2.10 | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) | 24 |
| 2.11 | Summary | 24 |

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-------|---------------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 26 |
| 3.2 | Flow Chart | 28 |
| 3.3 | Preparation of work piece | 29 |
| 3.3.1 | Material selection | 30 |
| 3.4 | Heat Treatment | 31 |
| 3.4.1 | Quenching process | 32 |
| 3.5 | Hardness Test | 32 |
| 3.6 | Selection of parameters | 34 |
| 3.7 | Turning Operation | 38 |
| 3.8 | Data Recording | 40 |
| 3.9 | Data Analysis | 41 |

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 46 |
| 4.2 | Hardness Test | 46 |
| 4.2.1 | Experimental Result | 46 |
| 4.2.2 | Discussion | 48 |
| 4.3 | Impact of cutting parameters to the coated carbide insert | 49 |
| 4.4 | Statistical Analysis: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) | 51 |
| 4.5 | Discussion | 58 |

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| | | |
|-----|----------------|----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 60 |
| 5.2 | Conclusion | 60 |
| 5.3 | Recommendation | 61 |

| | |
|-------------------|----|
| REFERENCES | 62 |
|-------------------|----|

| | |
|-------------------|----|
| APPENDICES | 64 |
|-------------------|----|

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Title | Page |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Properties of plain carbon steel | 6 |
| 2.2 | Tool life, surface roughness and cutting force when machining cast iron T150M coated carbide tool in dry condition | 17 |
| 2.3 | 3 ³ factorial design | 21 |
| 3.1 | Chemical composition of AISI 1065 | 33 |
| 3.2 | Mechanical properties of AISI 1065 | 33 |
| 3.3 | Selection of factors and value | 38 |
| 4.1 | Vickers hardness value | 49 |
| 4.2 | Impact of Cutting Parameters to the Tool Wear | 50 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | Title | Page |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Fe-Fe ₃ C equilibrium phase diagram | 7 |
| 2.2 | Vickers Pyramid Hardness Indentation | 11 |
| 2.3 | Region of heat generation in machining | 12 |
| 2.4 | Lathe Machine | 14 |
| 2.5 | Flank wear carbide insert tool of turning C-60 steel | 19 |
| 2.6 | Influence of the depth of cut on the tool wear | 20 |
| 3.1 | Methodology Flow Chart | 31 |
| 3.2 | Drawing of the work piece used | 29 |
| 3.3 | Band saw cutting machine S-300HB | 29 |
| 3.4 | Cutting process of the work pieces | 30 |
| 3.5 | L00 LT-MSI Arc Spark Spectrometer | 30 |
| 3.6 | Heating the work pieces | 32 |
| 3.7 | Quench in water | 32 |
| 3.8 | Vickers hardness test machine | 33 |
| 3.9 | View of indentation on the work piece | 33 |
| 3.10 | 3** (K-p) and Box-Behnken designs | 34 |
| 3.11 | Design three-level factor | 35 |
| 3.12 | Summary for variables | 36 |
| 3.13 | Table of runs | 37 |
| 3.14 | Conventional Lathe Machine | 38 |
| 3.15 | Coated carbide inserts | 39 |
| 3.16 | Turning operation | 40 |
| 3.17 | Microscope MarVision MM320 and Quadra check 300 | 40 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.18 | Dependent and independent variables | 42 |
| 3.19 | Selection of model | 43 |
| 3.20 | Predicted vs. observed scatter plot selection | 44 |
| 4.1 | Graph of Vickers Hardness | 47 |
| 4.2 | Table of runs with experimental result | 49 |
| 4.3 | ANOVA table for no interaction model | 52 |
| 4.4 | Scatter plot of predicted value versus observed value no interaction model | 52 |
| 4.5 | ANOVA table for two-way interaction (linear-by-linear) | 53 |
| 4.6 | Scatter plot of predicted value versus observed value two-way interaction (linear-by-linear) | 54 |
| 4.7 | ANOVA table for two-way interaction (linear-by-quadratic) | 55 |
| 4.8 | Scatter plot of predicted value versus observed value two-way interaction (linear-by-quadratic) | 56 |
| 4.9 | Response surface for cutting speed and feed rate on the tool wear | 57 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| $^{\circ}\text{C}$ | Degree Celsius |
| γ | Gamma |
| R^2 | Coefficient of determination |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|
| DOE | Design of Experiments |
| AISI | American Iron and Steel Institute |
| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
| HV | Hardness value |
| RPM | Revolutions per minute |
| FCC | Face centred cubic |
| BCT | Body central tetragonal |
| CVD | Chemical vapour deposition |
| SS | Sum of squares |
| CS | Cutting speed |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

During machining process, friction between work piece and grain cause high temperature on cutting tool. The effect of this generated heat that will sooner decrease the tool life, increase surface roughness and decrease the dimensional sensitivities of work material. This case is more important when machining of difficult-to-cut materials, when more heat would be observed.

The application of cutting fluid or coolant is an alternative that has been used widespread in all machining process. Cutting fluid is used to reduce friction and wear (improving tool life and surface finish), to reduce cutting force and energy consumption, to cool the cutting zone, to wash away chips and to protect machined surfaces from environmental corrosion.

However, because of their damaging influences on the environment, their applications have been limited in machining process. Cutting fluid can be expensive and seriously degrade quality of environment. Consequently, many governments recommend the manufacturers to reduce the volume and the toxicity of their cutting fluids. It is potentially cause health problem to the operator. Besides, cutting fluids requires proper recycling and disposal, thus adding to the cost of the machining operation.

For these reasons dry machining has become an increasingly important approach. In dry machining, no coolant or lubricant is used. The implementation of dry machining will bring down the manufacturing cost.